

Contact information of individual submitting the abstract

Name: Tram Nguyen

Title: Postdoctoral Fellow

Email: tnguyen4@uottawa.ca

Phone number: 613-562-5800

Address: School of Epidemiology and Public Health,
Faculty of Medicine,
University of Ottawa,
600 Peter Morand Crescent, Room 301
Ottawa, ON K1G 5Z3

Abstract Information

Title: Exploring ways of 'working together' to advance implementation science: A workshop to develop a working group to examine different collaborative research approaches

Conference: Knowledge Utilization Colloquium July 1-3, 2020, Bergen Norway

Workshop: 3 hours

Author(s): Nguyen T^{1,2}, Graham ID^{3,4}, Mrklas KJ^{5,6}, Bowen S⁷, Cargo M⁸, Estabrooks CA⁹, Kothari A¹⁰, Lavis J¹¹, Macaulay AC¹², MacLeod M¹³, Phipps D¹⁴, Ramsden V¹⁵, Renfrew MJ¹⁶, Salsberg J¹⁷, Wallerstein N¹⁸

Affiliation(s):

¹School of Epidemiology and Public Health, Faculty of Medicine, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, Ontario; ²CanChild Centre for Childhood Disability Research, Faculty of Health Sciences, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada

³Clinical Epidemiology Program, Ottawa Hospital Research Institute, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada;

⁴School of Epidemiology and Public Health, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada.

⁵Strategic Clinical Networks™, System Innovation and Programs, Alberta Health Services, Calgary, Alberta, Canada; ⁶Department of Community Health Sciences, Cumming School of Medicine, University of Calgary, Calgary, Alberta, Canada

⁷Applied Research and Evaluation Consultant, Canada

⁸Centre for Research and Action in Public Health, Health Research Institute, University of Canberra, Canberra, Australian Capital Territory

⁹Professor & Canada Research Chair, Faculty of Nursing, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada

¹⁰School of Health Studies, Faculty of Health Sciences, Western University, London, Ontario, Canada.

¹¹Professor & Canada Research Chair in Evidence-Informed Health Systems, Centre for Health Economics and Policy Analysis, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada

¹²Participatory Research at McGill, Department of Family Medicine, McGill University, Montreal, QC, Canada

¹³School of Nursing, University of Northern British Columbia, Prince George, B.C.

¹⁴Executive Director, Research & Innovation Services, York University, Canada

¹⁵College of Medicine, Department of Academic Family Medicine, University of Saskatchewan

¹⁶Mother and Infant Research Unit, School of Nursing and Health Sciences, University of Dundee, Scotland, UK

¹⁷Graduate Entry Medical School, University of Limerick, Ireland and UL Health Research Institute, Limerick, Ireland

¹⁸Center for Participatory Research, University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, NM

Purpose: This study is the first to systematically synthesize experts' perspectives and experiences in a comparison of collaborative research approaches. The purpose of this interactive workshop is to present the findings of a study comparing IKT with engaged scholarship, Mode 2 research, co-production, and participatory research to provide conceptual clarity and facilitate shared understanding among these approaches. This workshop builds upon the findings of a previous workshop presented at the Knowledge Translation Meeting in Canada in May of 2020.

Methods: We conducted qualitative interviews with experts in the field to learn from their experiences and expertise. Thematic analysis identified emergent themes relating to engagement and the partnering process. Secondary data source included an inductive analysis of key literature identified by experts.

Outline of interactive workshop:

Time	Activity
15 minutes	Introduction of Presenters
30 minutes	Presentation of study and key findings
30 minutes	Small group discussion/activity about similarities among approaches
10 minutes	Break
30 minutes	Small group discussion/activity about similarities among approaches
35 minutes	Large group interactive discussion to decide of key similarities and differences among approaches
10 minutes	Break
20 minutes	Summary discussion Next steps Key take home message

Results: Similarities among these collaborative research approaches included values and principles, financial and time investments, and essential partnering components. Differences were noted in orientation, historical roots, and engagement/partnership.

Conclusions Results of this work are novel and will be used to inform researchers, clinicians, and trainees in selecting an appropriate approach best suited for their research agenda;

advancing IKT and implementation science.

(250 words)