**PROPOSED ASSESSMENT COMMITTEE FOR PHD CANDIDATE (name)**

**………………..…………………………………………………………………………**

**Thesis title: …………………………………………………………………………….**

**The candidate's supervisors:**

Main supervisor:

Co-supervisor(s):

**The following assessment committee is proposed:**

**External member**

Name:

Academic title:

Institution:

Email:

**External member**

Name:

Academic title:

Institution:

Email:

**Committee leader (internal)**

Name:

Academic title:

Email:

All proposed members have been asked and have agreed to participate in the committee.

**Justification for the choice of committee members**
Justification should be written for each member of the committee and show how the committee as a whole covers the scientific field of the thesis. If the proposal does not meet the requirements as stated in the [PhD regulations § 5-5](https://www.hvl.no/globalassets/hvl-internett/dokument/p.hd/phd-dokumenter-engelsk/regulations-for-the-degree-of-philosophiae-doctor-phd-at-western-norway-university-of-applied-sciences.pdf), this must be justified specifically. Forms without sufficient justification will be returned.

Justification for why **all three proposed members** are scientifically qualified to assess the thesis:: ………………………………………...…………………………………………………………….………………………………………...…………………………………………………………….………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………...………………………………

……………………………………………………………………………………………………

**I confirm that the following are fulfilled in accordance with the** [**PhD regulations § 5-5**](https://www.hvl.no/globalassets/hvl-internett/dokument/p.hd/phd-dokumenter-engelsk/regulations-for-the-degree-of-philosophiae-doctor-phd-at-western-norway-university-of-applied-sciences.pdf)**.**

(check the left column)

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | Both genders are represented in the committee |
|  | The majority of the committee members come from external institutions |
|  | At least one of the members has a main position at a foreign institution |
|  | One of the members is permanently employed at Western Norway University of Applied Sciences, and will be appointed as committee leader |
|  | All members have a doctoral degree |
|  | The supervisor has explained how the committee as a whole covers the scientific field of the thesis |

Efforts should be make to ensure that at least one of the committee members has experience in the evaluation of a PhD thesis, cf section 10.2 in System for Quality Assurance of PhD Programmes at HVL.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| At least one of the committee members has experience in the evaluation of a PhD thesis  | Yes | No |
|  |  |

All members of the committee must be involved in assessing the thesis, trial lecture and public defence.

To the best of our knowledge, none of the proposed committee members have co-publications or other scientific cooperation with the candidate, the candidate’s supervisors or co-authors. Nor are there other circumstances making the members incompetent for participation in the assessment committee, following the [Public Administration Act § 6](https://lovdata.no/NLE/lov/1967-02-10/%C2%A76). (The proposed committee members will be requested to fill in a declaration of impartiality. Form and guidelines to declaration of impartiality are found [here](https://view.officeapps.live.com/op/view.aspx?src=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.hvl.no%2Fglobalassets%2Fhvl-internett%2Fdokument%2Fp.hd%2Fphd-dokumenter-engelsk%2Fdeclaration-of-impartiality-in-connection-with-the-phd-examination.docx&wdOrigin=BROWSELINK).

Signature main supervisor

…………………………………………………………………